



California peace officers need more training, not less.

New use-of-force policies must protect California citizens without jeopardizing the lives or response time of those sworn to protect public safety.

SB 230 MEETS THIS CRUCIAL STANDARD, AB 392 FAILS

SB 230 *Caballero*

A Comprehensive Strategy

- Revises California's outdated use-of-force legal standard to reflect US and California Supreme Court decisions
- Requires law enforcement agencies in California to provide clear use-of-force guidelines, including de-escalation and proportionate alternatives to force, duty to prevent and report excessive force by other officers, rendering medical aid, and interacting with vulnerable populations
- Standardizes training to include de-escalation, alternatives to force, medical aid, and legal standard for use of force

Endorsed by California Police Chiefs Association, California Sheriffs' Association, California Police Officers' Association, California Association of Highway Patrolmen, Association of Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs, Riverside Sheriffs' Association, Fraternal Order of Police, Los Angeles Police Protective League, Peace Officers Research Association of California

AB 392 *Weber*

A Punitive Approach

- Confuses the current use-of-force legal standard with vague and unclear language, causing officers to hesitate in life-and-death situations, jeopardizing their lives and the lives of those they protect
- Puts officers at risk for criminal prosecution for split-second decisions made in life-threatening encounters — violating the spirit of the US Supreme Court's ruling that "reasonableness of force should not be judged by '20/20 vision of hindsight'"
- Requires officers to use alternatives to force when facing an immediate threat to their lives or serious physical harm, putting their right to defend themselves below the right of civilians