

# **Not a matter of left or right, but just plain wrong**

## **NO ON SB 10**

“It will lead to MORE people being incarcerated pre-trial.”

**“... will lead to more incarceration and entrench racial, gender and socioeconomic bias in our system.”**

Gina Clayton-Johnson, Executive Director, Essie Justice Group  
*San Jose Mercury News*, 8/17/18

**“If our goals are to shrink the justice system and end racial disparities, we can’t simply end money bail and replace it with risk assessments.”**

Vanita Gupta, President and CEO, The Leadership Conference Education Fund

**“It will lead to MORE people being incarcerated pretrial ... the new system is based upon a bias-prone risk assessment instrument ...”**

The Bail Project  
The Bail Project@bailproject, 8/17/18 (tweet)

**“Risk assessment tools are often seen as a panacea, when in reality they are more likely to perpetuate over incarceration and disparities.”**

Mark Houldin, Policy Director, Philadelphia Defenders Association

**“More than 100 civil rights and civil liberties organizations have complained about the use of algorithm-based system to evaluate bail.”**

*Sacramento Observer*, 8/13/18

**“... the ACLU of California has withdrawn its sponsorship and support.”**

ACLU of California, 8/16/18 Statement

**“... a bad bill that will actually increase the number of defendants held in custody.”**

Assemblyman Jim Cooper  
Capitol Public Radio, Ben Adler, 8/16/18

**“... the truth is the bill could actually promote crime.”**

Jeff Adachi, San Francisco Public Defender  
Opinion, *San Francisco Chronicle*, 8/14/18

**“Unfortunately, SB 10, completely rewritten through a secretive process that excluded community representatives and advocacy/civil rights groups ...”**

Human Rights Watch

**“Legislators who purport to care about bail reform must see through this thinly veiled bill that brings more incarceration, more disparity.”**

Gina Clayton-Johnson, Executive Director, Essie Justice Group  
*San Jose Mercury News, 8/17/18*

**“Algorithmic decision-making tools are only as smart as the inputs to the system ... thus, automated predictions based on such data — although they may seem objective or neutral — threaten to further intensify discrepancies in the justice system ...”**

*A Shared Statement of Civil Rights Concerns signed by 119 groups, including ACLU, Black Lives Matter Philadelphia, Color of Change, Global Justice Institute, Movement Voter Project, Project SAFE, Robert F. Kennedy Human Rights and others*